### **WORK SESSION AGENDA**





V	Vork Session Meeting Agenda Items	Recommendation	Allotted Time	Beginning Time		
Recommendations = Information Only, Move Forward for Approval, Direction Rec						
1.	Council Meeting Follow-up		10 min	4:30		
2.	Mayor's Council for People with Disabilities	Direction Requested	20 min	4:40		
3.	Health Plan Options (Tracey Belser)	Direction Requested	40 min	5:00		
4.	Wages (Carter Napier)	Direction Requested	40 min	5:40		
5.	Council Goals	Direction Requested	30 min	6:20		
6.	Agenda Setting		20 min	6:50		
7.	Legislative Review		10 min	7:10		
8.	Council Around the Table		10 min	7:20		
Approximate Ending						

**MEMO TO:** His Honor the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: J. Carter Napier, City Manager JCA

**SUBJECT:** Creation of a Mayor's Council for People with Disabilities

### Meeting Type & Date

Council Work Session, March 12, 2019

### Recommendation

That Council discuss creating a Mayor's Council for People with Disabilities.

### **Summary**

A citizen, LeAnn Rogers, brought a suggestion forward regarding creating a disability council similar to one in the city of Cheyenne. She met with city staff and the previous and current mayors to discuss the possibility. A conference call was also made with Cheyenne staff to obtain additional information. The Cheyenne Council for Disabilities is described as an advisory board to their City Council on the problems and concerns of people with disabilities as they relate to activities of daily living, including: employment, recreation, transportation, architectural accessibility, disability awareness and education and other areas of concern to people with disabilities.

Staff will provide additional information for the Council to consider as needed.

### Financial Considerations

No Financial Consideration

### Oversight/Project Responsibility

Casper City Council

### **Attachments**

None

MEMO TO:

J. Carter Napier, City Manager

FROM:

Tracey L. Belser, Support Services Director

SUBJECT:

Health Plan Options

### Meeting Type & Date

Council Work Session, March 12, 2019

### Action type

Direction Requested

### Recommendation

That the City Manager provide direction to the Support Services Director on the preferred health plan option for the City of Casper.

### **Summary**

The City's Health Plan has been self-funded for 35 years. In 2007, there was a directive to get the health fund on a sustainable path. The fund was making strides towards a sustainable path in 2011 with the support and commitment of City management, as well as City Council. However, due to a period of six years with no premium increases, increasing claims and pharmaceutical expenses, the City's health fund and health fund reserves are in despair.

The following are highlights from how the 2018 health plan year (Jan. 2017 – Dec. 2018) performed:

- Average number of employees on the health plan was 421
- Loss ratio of premiums collected vs. claims paid:
  - o In 2016, 119%
  - o In 2017, 126%
  - o In 2018, 112%
    - Post 65 group ran at 466%
- Group observed trend is 4% on medical claims
- Overall medical claims declined by 16%
- Overall prescription expenses declined by 19%
- Catastrophic claims declined by 15%

The City of Casper currently offers a good health plan. About 16% of eligible City employees are not enrolled in the City's health plan. The following are steps the City of Casper has recently taken to help get the health fund onto a more sustainable path.

- City Council allocated up to \$150,000 to the Health Fund in lieu of City employees having an increase in health premium of 10%, in addition to accepting a 10% premium increase for the employer portion of health premium.
- A budget amendment in November 2018 included \$1.5 million to infuse into the Health Fund to cover the budgeted amount expected to be at a deficit by July 2019.
- The Health Fund is realizing results of plan design changes made in January 2018, with the prescription plan changes resulting in a current decrease of 10%.
- The Health Fund total claims spend is 6% less than last year.
- Effective January 2019, the Post 65 Retiree population is no longer eligible to remain on the City's Health Plan. The City paid for these retirees to meet with a Medicare consultant, to assist these retirees to successfully move to an alternative plan. The "Buy Up" option for all employees and retirees was removed as well.

Below is a historical overview of premiums, claim costs, and health fund balances:

Year	Employer Health Plan premium increase	Employee Health Plan premium increase	Total Health Plan Claim Costs (Calendar Year)	Health Fund (FY)	Health Fund Reserve (FY)
2007	10%	10%	\$4,214,297	Unavailable	Unavailable
2008	7%	7%	\$5,715,504	Unavailable	Unavailable
2009	10%	10%	\$6,621,180	\$(1,377,307)	\$3,389,700
2010	7%	7%	\$6,416,876	\$ 365,592	\$5,462,864
2011	5%	0%	\$5,669,476	\$(2,160,963)	\$3,688,111
2012	0%	0%	\$5,751,143	\$ (402,846)	\$5,356,993
2013	0%	0%	\$5,968,622	\$ (241,460)	\$5,091,616
2014	0%	0%	\$6,970,993	\$ (228,114)	\$4,901,303
2015	0%	0%	\$6,480,053	\$ (962,295)	\$4,334,760
2016	5%	5%	\$7,591,345	\$(1,299,778)	\$2,911,477
2017	0%	0%	\$7,683,652	\$(3,623,248)	\$ 780,388

The Health Plan Design Committee (HPDC) has been meeting monthly to learn about the City's health fund and to explore options available for a health plan benefit to provide to City employees, retirees, and their families.

### Options explored:

- Chamber of Commerce insurance
- Wyoming Association of Municipalities Joint Power Insurance Company (WAM JPIC)
- State of Wyoming's health plan
- Fully insured health plan
- Wyoming Employer Benefit Trust (WEBT)
- Remain Self-Funded

The option of joining the Chamber of Commerce insurance, or WAM JPIC, was determined not a viable option due to the small size that those plans typically cover. It is not a good fit for the City of Casper group size which fluctuates around 400+ people covered (includes employees, pre-65 retirees, and COBRA participants).

The option of joining the State of Wyoming's health plan is not viable at this time. It would take legislative action to allow municipalities to join the State of Wyoming Health Plan and currently there is no support for that proposal.

Since the other options explored are viable at this time, I will provide those as Option A, B, and C.

Option A: Have a Fully Insured Plan effective January 1, 2020. The quote received from CIGNA, who has all of our claims data as the City's Third Party Administrator (TPA), which would be a 43% increase (\$2,796,084.72). This doesn't appear to be a less expensive option for the City of Casper. The City's benefit consultants, GBS, requested information from Blue Cross Blue Shield and United Health Care, which are both licensed to provide fully insured plans in the State of Wyoming. Those companies did not respond to GBS with information to help assess what a quote would be. There are few insurance companies who will provide coverage in Wyoming.

### Pros:

- The insurance company manages and oversees all the services and vendors.
- The insurance company assumes full risk and thereby all decisions for the plan.

### Cons:

- The insurance company retains reserves and capital at year's end.
- The insurance company assumes full risk and thereby all decisions for the plan.
- Disruption to plan members beyond the employer's control.
- Data belongs to the insurance company.

Option B: Join the WEBT effective January 1, 2020. WEBT is a non-profit health benefit trust, consisting of public educational entities, cities, counties, special districts, and publicly funded employers that have come together to pool their health benefits risk. WEBT was established in 1971 and has group sizes of 2 to 650. The City of Casper would be one of their larger sized groups. Although a direct price quote wasn't obtained, this does not appear to be a less expensive option for the City of Casper.

The following is a chart on what the City of Casper would have paid in premium increases, with our claims experience in each of the years listed below, if we had been with WEBT during that period of time:

Year	City would've paid the following in premium rate increase with WEBT	City employer paid the following premium rate increase in self-funded plan	City employee paid the following premium increase in self-funded plan
2011	9.5%	5%	0%
2012	7.0%	0%	0%
2013	3.0%	0%	0%
2014	10.0%	0%	0%
2015	13.0%	0%	0%
2016	8.0%	5%	5%
2017	4.0%	0%	0%
2018	8.0%	14%	0%

### Pros:

- WEBT Board manages and oversees all the services and vendors.
- WEBT assumes full risk and thereby all decisions for the plan.
- WEBT is stable with funding.
- There is potential, not a guarantee, to have a seat on the WEBT Board.

### Cons:

- WEBT retains reserve and capital at year's end. GBS estimates that over that past five years, WEBT would have kept \$5.3 million from the City of Casper premiums paid in/claims cost if we had belonged in this group during that timeframe.
- WEBT assumes full risk and thereby all decisions for the plan.
- Difficult to exit the WEBT once you join.
- Data belongs to WEBT.

**Option C**: Remain Self-Funded – This is where the employer provides health benefits to its employees with its own funds. The City of Casper has been self-funded for 35 years.

### Pros:

- Employer determines fund and reserve levels as deemed appropriate.
- Employer retains any excess reserves.
- Employer manages and oversees all services and vendors, thereby exercising more control over the type and quality of services. One example is offering coverage for autism related therapy that most other plans do not cover. We had employees ask for it and the HPDC recommended that we add it to our plan.

### Cons:

- Employer determines fund and reserve levels as deemed appropriate.
- Employer accepts the full risk; however, risks can be mitigated through the purchase of employer stop-loss coverage.

To quote a HPDC member, "This isn't a policy problem but a claims history and funding problem. Getting a policy that forces us to pay a set amount may solve some of the funding problem from the aspect that we are forced to pay the bill but we will still have to find the funds

to pay it. That will be a struggle no matter what option is selected." Several benefit consultants I have met with, as independent consultants, as well as GBS, have indicated if they were in our shoes, they would remain self-funded. The majority of the HPDC members support Option C at this time, as do I.

# <u>Proposed recommendations and considerations for remainder of Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020:</u>

- Adopt new Funding Guidelines by Resolution for the health fund to include an acceptable level of funding and to follow an industry standard for the premium contribution split between the Employer and Employee.
- Plan for a premium increase of at least 10% for employees, retirees, and employer.
- Implement a Health Savings Account (HSA) in January 2020.
- Continue to evaluate plan design changes.
- Continue to evaluate plan spend.
- Develop programs to increase awareness of plan participants regarding plan benefits, reducing the likelihood of disease states, and over all well-being.
- Consider to do a Third Party Administrator (TPA) Request for Proposal (RFP).
- Consider to do a Pharmacy Benefit Manager (PBM) RFP.
- By January 2020, the City will realize a full year of savings resulting from Post 65 Retirees being off the Health Plan and by removing the "Buy Up" plan.

### Examples of some cost saving ideas to explore:

- Premium differentials (i.e. tobacco users, Pre 65 Retirees, etc.).
- Create a RFP for local off-site clinic/pharmacy.
- Review options for the Pre 65 group.
- Create a new Health Pool for local government (WARM Board will discuss in April).
- Continue to support legislative action that would allow municipalities the ability to join the State of Wyoming's health plan.

### Proposed recommendations and consideration for Fiscal Year 2021:

- Plan for a premium increase of at least 10% for employees, retirees, and employer.
- Continue to evaluate plan design changes.
- Continue to evaluate plan spend.
- Develop programs to increase awareness of plan participants regarding plan benefits, reducing
  - the likelihood of disease states, and over all well-being.
- Possibly have a new TPA.
- Possibly have a new PBM.

### **Financial Considerations**

If Option A or Option B is selected, it is approximated to cost \$1 million to pay out all the claims and obligations of the health fund to transition away from a self-funded plan.

### Oversight/Project Responsibility

Tracey Belser, Support Services Becky Nelson, Health and Safety Specialist

### **Attachments**

Draft Resolution to Establish Funding Guidelines City of Casper 2019 Health and Dental Premiums State of Wyoming Health Plan Premiums City of Casper Health Plan Design Changes Summary

RESOLUTION NO.	
----------------	--

# A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH FUNDING GUIDELINES FOR THE CITY OF CASPER'S HEALTH FUND.

WHEREAS, the City of Casper has health a fund to provide health and dental benefits as an employer; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Casper desires to adopt this resolution in order to ensure the City of Casper maintains sufficient funds to adequately support the self-insurance benefit plans, anticipate changes in the plan as a result of Federal changes and mandates, provide for the needed premium charges and maintaining a sustainable fund balance:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF CASPER, WYOMING: That the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute, and the City Clerk to attest,

- I. That the City of Casper's health fund should maintain an amount that would satisfy processing of claims for a six (6) month run-out period, averaged over the past five (5) years, to cover all health, and dental, claims, costs, and protect the fund, including administrative costs.
- II. That for all health, and dental, plan options offered through the City of Casper to eligible employees, the City shall pay 85% of the total premium costs and the employee shall pay 15% of the total premium costs starting in the beginning of the plan year January 2020.
- III. That the City Manager shall submit a budget for the health fund consistent with I. and II. above.

	PASSED, , 2019.	APPROVED,	AND	ADOPTED	on	this	 day	of
APPROVED	AS TO FOR	RM:						
ATTEST:				CITY OF A Municij			IING	
Fleur D. Tre	mel			Charles Po	owel	 l		

## PREMIUMS

### MEDICAL & PHARMACY - CIGNA

	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	D. D. Dies		A DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE
		Buy-Down Plan		
Status	Total Premium Per Month	City of Casper Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Pay Period (24)
Employee	\$681.87	\$609.79	\$72.08	\$36.04
Employee + Spouse	\$1,363.76	\$1,219.60	\$144.16	\$72.08
Employee + Child(ren)	\$1,261.48	\$1,128.13	\$133.35	\$66.68
Family	\$1,787.80	\$1,598.82	\$188.98	\$94.49

Mid-Option (Base) Plan					
Status	Total Premium Per Month	City of Casper Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Pay Period (24)	
Employee	\$734.72	\$614.59	\$120.13	\$60.07	
Employee + Spouse	\$1,469.48	\$1,229.21	\$240.27	\$120.14	
Employee + Child(ren)	\$1,359.27	\$1,137.02	\$222.25	\$111.13	
Family	\$1,926.39	\$1,611.42	\$314.97	\$157.49	

### DENTAL - DELTA DENTAL

		Premier Plan		
Status	Total Premium Per Month	City of Casper Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Pay Period (24)
Employee	\$39.77	\$31.82	\$7.95	\$3.98
Employee + Spouse	\$79.54	\$63.63	\$15.91	\$7.96
Employee + Child(ren)	\$73.57	\$58.86	\$14.71	\$7.36
Family	\$104.27	\$83.42	\$20.85	\$10.43

### VISION - VSP

VSP Signature					
Status	Total Premium Per Month	City of Casper Contribution Per Month	Employee Contribution Per Month (12 Pay Periods)		
Employee	\$8.04	\$0.00	\$8.04		
Employee + 1	\$11.66	\$0.00	\$11.66		
Family	\$20.91	\$0.00	\$20.91		

	Casper plans	(2019 rates)		Stat	e plans (2018 rates)		
	Buy Down	Mid-option	\$500 PPO	\$900 PPO	\$1500 HDHP	\$2000 PPO	
Deductible	\$3,000/\$6,000	\$2,000/\$4,000	\$500/\$1,000	\$900/\$1,800	\$1,500/\$3,000	\$2,000/\$4,000	
OOP Max in Wyoming	\$6,000/\$12,000	\$4,000/\$8,000	\$2,500/\$5,000	\$2,900/\$5,800	\$3,500/\$6,850	\$4,000/\$8,000	
OOP Max outside Wyoming	\$6,000/\$12,000	\$4,000/\$8,000	\$4,500/\$9,000	\$4,900/\$9,800	\$6,000/\$12,000	\$5500/\$11,000	
Office Visit in Wyoming	\$50	\$35	85%/75%	85%/75%	85%/75%	85%/75%	
Office Visit outside Wyoming	\$50	\$35	75%/60%	75%/60%	75%/60%	75%/60%	
ER	80% AD	80% AD	\$100 + 85%	\$100 + 85%	\$100 + 85%	\$100 + 85%	
Coinsurance in Wyoming	80%/70%	80%/70%	85%/75%	85%/75%	85%/75%	85%/75%	
Coinsurance outside Wyoming	80%/70%	80%/70%	75%/60%	75%/60%	75%/60%	75%/60%	
Tier 1 Rx	\$5 + 20%	\$5 + 20%	\$10	\$10	\$20% AD	\$10	
Tier 2 Rx	\$20 +20%	\$20 +20%	\$20	\$20	\$20% AD	\$20	
Tier 3 Rx	\$30 + 20%	\$30 + 20%	\$50	\$50	\$20% AD	\$50	
			2018 Rates				
Employee	\$681.87	\$734.72	\$934.30	\$904.98	\$845.20	\$835.43	
Emp + Child	\$1,261.48	\$1,359.27	\$1,418.64	\$1,374.12	\$1,283.37	\$1,268.15	
Emp + Spouse	\$1,363.76	\$1,469.48	\$1,881.08	\$1,822.04	\$1,701.70	\$1,681.52	
Family	\$1,787.80	\$1,926.39	\$2,162.86	\$2,096.74	\$1,962.96	\$1,933.44	
Compared to Mid Option			+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	
Employee		•	127%	123%	115%	114%	
Emp + Child			104%	101%	94%	93%	
Emp + Spouse			128%	124%	116%	114%	
Family			112%	109%	102%	100%	

<u>A few things to note</u>: City of Casper rates are 2019 vs. State of Wyoming 2018. Cigna has not released the 1/1/2019 rates yet. The City of Casper plan overall is richer in plan design and rates. State of Wyoming has a reduction in benefits if services are rendered outside the state in the following:

- Out of Pocket Maximums
- Coinsurance In Wyoming -(85%/75%) Outside (75%/60%)
- o The State plan offers 4 plan options & all employers have the same rates/benefits/employee contributions.
- o Legislative action would be required to allow City of Casper into the State plan.

Information provided by HR 10/04/18)

2000						
Plan Design Topic	Plan Design Topic City's Plan Change to City's Plan Intended outcome					
Drop vision coverage	Medical coverage included vision	No longer offer vision coverage	Reduced cost to the medical plan			

2006					
Plan Design Topic	City's Plan	Change to City's Plan	Intended outcome		
Offer Vision Services Plan	No coverage	Supplemental voluntary policy (VSP)	To offer a vision benefit with premium to be paid by		
	offered.	offered to City employees and retirees.	participant.		

2007					
Employer Premium Increase: 10%	Employee Premium Increase: 10%	Total Claims: \$4,214,297			

	2008	
Employer Premium Increase: 7%	Employee Premium Increase: 7%	Total Claims: \$5,715,504

2009					
Employer Premium Increase: 10%	Employee Premium Increase: 10%	Total Claims: \$6,621,180			

2010					
Employer Premium Increase: 7%	Employee Premium Increase: 7%	Total Claims: \$6,416,876			

		2011		
Employer Premium Increas	se: 5%	Employee Premium Increase: 0%		Total Claims: \$5,669,476
Plan Design Topic	City's Plan	Change to City's Plan	Intended outcome	
Deductible	\$500	\$1,500	70% o	f all medical plans are \$1,000 or higher.
Co-Insurance	50/50%	80/20%	Health	plan savings and more in line with industry
			standa	ard.
Co-Pays	Office None	Office \$35	Health	plan savings and more in line with industry
	Lab None	Lab \$35	standa	ard.
Out of pocket maximum	\$1,500/\$3,000	\$3,000/\$6,000	Health	n plan savings.
Supplemental	\$750	Paid subject to deductible and co-	Less th	nan 1% of claims qualify for this type of coverage.
Accident		insurance.		
Prescription Tiers	Tier levels:	Tier levels:	Reduc	ed costs.
	\$0 + 20%	\$5 + 20%		
	\$15 +20%	\$20 +20%		
	\$30 +50%	\$30 +50%		
		Generic primary		
Over the Counter Drugs	None	Covered with a prescription	Stoma	ch acid reducing medications and non-sedating
			antihis	stamines
Specialty Prescriptions	Optional	Required		
Mental Disorders and	Limited	Paid as any other illness	In acco	ordance with Federal mandate
Substance Abuse				
Treatment				
Incentive for Plan savings	50% of savings (max \$300)	50% of savings (max. \$1,250)		
Wellness Benefit	\$300 per person	\$550 per person	To end	courage preventative/wellness visits
Rate Structure	Current Two Tier:	Current Four Tier:	More	options for members
	Single	Single		
	Family	Single + Spouse		
		Single + Children		
		Family		

Extended Care Facility		\$20,000, and 45 days lifetime maximum	Industry standard
By minute action to City	Offer employees	Stop offering new employees the ability to	Reduce OPEB liability which is estimated in 2011 to be
Council, approved, Other	who retiree the	elect retiree medical coverage when they	20 million and growing. Retirees have been able to
Post Employment	ability to stay on	retire in future as of Jan. 1, 2011.	choose to remain on the City's health plan since 1985.
Benefits (OPEB) Liability	health plan/have		
	City's medical		
	coverage even		
	though they pay		
	full premium.		
Skilled Nursing Facility		\$20,000 lifetime max 90 days annually	Industry standard
Annual Biometric		Incentives provided this year. Next year a	Need to get data to build programs to control losses.
Screening and health risk		15% premium increase on top of any other	
assessment		premium increases, and 20% premium	
		increases on top of any other premium	
		increases thereafter.	

2012					
Employer Premium Increase: 0%	Employee Premium Increase: 0%	Total Claims: \$5,751,143			

2013							
Employer Premium	Employee Premi	um	Total Claims:	Health Fund:	Health Fund Reserve:		
Increase: 0%	Increase: 0%		\$5,968,622	\$(241,460)	\$5,091,616		
Plan Design Topic	City's Plan	n Change to City's Plan In			ne		
Three plan options Only one option available to choose from e enrollment depe needs. This will r "buy down" "mid			additional options for members from each year at open depending on their medical s will now be referred to as a ""mid" (which is currently the lace) and a "buy up" option.	based on what fit	oose to pay higher or lower premium is their needs best. Potential to have enue with higher claims cost. Will have		

			2014		
Employer Premium Increase: 0% Plan Design Topic	ase: 0% Increase: 0%		Total Claims: \$6,970,993 City's Plan	Health Fund: \$(228,114) Intended outcome	Health Fund Reserve: \$4,901,303 e and effective date Jan. 2014
Discontinue the deductible carry over	A claim's deductible amount can carry over into the next plan year. These are covered charges incurred in and applied toward the deductible in Oct., Nov., and Dec. that are applied toward the deductible in the next calendar year.	industry sta	e would be to adapt to the andard with new deductibles ch January and no carry over.	paid \$84,000 extra over with a new d	re 219 members and the health plan a to credit those members not to start leductible the following Jan. In 2012, embers and the health plan paid an 00.
Post-65 Retiree Consulting Services	No services currently provided for this.	visits and u	plan will pay for up to three p to \$150 per visit for Il services on other health plan ailable.	Medicare to not for There could be be	and encourage retirees eligible for ear moving off the City's health plan. etter and more affordable options for hims are a high expenditure for the
Physical, Occupational, and Speech Therapy self- referral			referral up to 12 visits if under hysician. If physician's referral apply.	·	

Preventative Services coverage enhancement		Preventative care services and routine colonoscopies (1 per calendar year) are covered 100% if charges are over reasonable and customary.	Enhancement for member to encourage preventative screening.
Chiropractic Services	\$500 maximum per year.	Increase to \$1,000 max per year.	Enhancement for members.
Acupuncture Services		Covered at \$1,000 maximum per year.	Enhancement for members.
CareHere On-site Medical Clinic	No onsite clinic.	Model that other municipalities have used for primary care to be moved to an on-site clinic which would affect approximately 18% of healthcare costs from community to the clinic.	This is estimated to save approximately \$3,101,534 within a five year period. This option was not supported by executive management for the reason of competing with local clinics/providers.

2015							
Employer Premium Increase: 0%	Employee Premion Increase: 0%	ım	Total Claims: \$6,480,053		Health Fund: \$(962,295)	Health Fund Reserve: \$4,334,760	
Plan Design Topic  New Third Party Administrator (TPA)	City's Plan CNIC	\$6,480,053 \$  Change to City's Plan  Cigna		Intended outcome  After an RFP process was completed, Cigna became TPA for their robust reporting and data, greater networking discounts, performance guarantees, lower			
					administrative costs.		

2016						
Employer Premium	Employee Premium	Total Claims:	Health Fund:	Health Fund Reserve:		
Increase: 5%	Increase: 5%	\$7,591,345	\$(1,299,778)	\$2,911,477		

2017							
Employer Premium	Employee	e Premium Total Claims		:	Health Fund:		Health Fund Reserve:
Increase: 0%	Increase: 0	0% \$7,683,652			\$(3,623,248)		\$780,388
Plan Design Topic		City's Plan		Change to City's	ge to City's Plan Intended outcome		
Increase Deductibles		Plan 1 From: \$2,500		Plan 1To: \$3,000	)	All for in-network	
		Plan 2 From: \$1,500		Plan 2 To: \$2,000		Currently 80% of me	mbers don't use deductible
		Plan 3 From: \$750		Plan 3 To: \$1,000			
Increase Out of Pocket Maxir	nums	Plan 1 From: \$5,0	00	Plan 1 To: \$6,00	0	All for in-network	
		Plan 2 From: \$3,000		Plan 2 To: \$4,000			
		Plan 3 From: \$2,000		Plan 3 To: \$3,000			
Prescription Step Therapy –		This is becoming a	an industry	Historically, this		This would affect 29 members out of 1100. This is	
Step therapy requires that les	SS	standard for most health		program has resulted		reviewed by physicians and if there is a medical	
expensive proven drug thera	py is tried	plans.	in a 21% reductio		on in	need to go to a preferred drug, a physician can	
prior to progressing to more	costly or		overall drug cost for		state it and the mem	ber would be provided that	
risky drugs. This is becoming	an industry		drug categorie		in this	drug.	
standard.				program with Cigna.			
Specialty Prescription Program - These drugs are used to treat complex, chronic and often costly conditions like Multiple Sclerosis, Rheumatoid Arthritis, Hepatitis C and Hemophilia.		Members pay the prescription co-pa maximum out of pathese being typical expensive, members or all of their insurance at the bathe year.	pay up to the pocket. With cally very per pay maximums per co- members to throughout introducing maximums per co-		year by ne month. to the ng urce k. This e	would be impacted.	cessed with 14 members that Customer paid \$15,658; and vas \$307,937 YTD in 2016.

Cigna 90 – This program allows member	This is a mail order service	This would be a	Support local pharmacies and may be more
to fill a 90-day prescription at local	only.	convenient option	convenient for members.
participating pharmacies.		available for City	
		Health Plan members.	
		This is also good for	
		local participating	
		pharmacies.	
Autism Coverage – Currently 46 states	City's Health Plan does not	Cigna already has a	Two known members on the health plan will now
have some type of regulation for	have coverage for an Autism	standard that is	have coverage which is estimated to have less
insurance coverage for Autism.	diagnosis.	followed by other	than a 5% impact to the health plan overall.
Wyoming does not.		clients and suggested	
		for the City of Casper	
		to follow.	

2018						
		e Premium	Total Claims:	Health Fund:	Health Fund Reserve:	
Increase: 14% Increase:		: 0%	\$7,911,961	\$(1,113,535)	\$(348,993)	
Plan Design Topic	С	ity's Plan	Change to City's Plan	Intended outcome and effective date Jan. 2018		
New Pharmacy Formulary		egacy plan is what the City of Casper was on nd was being phased out by Cigna.	There were two other formulary plan options. The Value formulary plan was selected as the recommendation.	This change will impact over 200 members. Most of the impact will be shifting utilization from non-preferred brand name drugs to preferred brands. Estimated savings of \$280,000 annually to the Health Plan.		
Over the Counter (OTC) Program		Over the Counter (OTC) nedication paid by the lealth plan when ourchased at a charmacy with a brescription for the OTC medication.	• •	Members will pay out of pocket for OTC medications. This change will impact 118 members. Estimated savings of \$32,000 annually. Flexible spending is an option available to members in paying for their OTC medications.		
Out of Network coverage		lealth plan pays in and out of network enefits at 80% after eductible.	Most services in the industry are changing from 80% After deductible (AD) to 70% AD. The recommendation is made to change to the industry standard.	The change will steer members to in network providers to save the health plan and members money. This will also encourage contracting with providers who are out of network to join the network. Estimated savings to the health plan are \$20,000 annually.		
Program spec		Io program in place pecifically to manage Opioid medications.	Opioid safeguards limiting the quantity and duration of opioid medications unless deemed medically	Better management of Opioid medication and reduce the risk of opioid dependency. This also should reduce costs by eliminating potential wasted pharmaceuticals with an estimated savings to the health plan of \$6,000 annually.		

		necessary.	
Amplifon	Employees who have	Discount network	Opportunity for discounted hearing aids at no cost to the
	the voluntary VSP	available for hearing	City or members.
	(vision plan) have	aids available through	
	access to discounts on	GBS, Inc. There are	
	hearing aids through	currently two providers	
	VSP only.	in Casper.	
Annual Limits for	Benefit is unlimited	This would change to	This is to reduce unnecessary utilization of these benefits.
Physical/Occupational/Speech	therapy visits per year.	cap visits to 60 visits	This change could impact 4-6 members each year.
Therapies		per year combined for	Estimated health plan savings is over \$20,000 annually.
		all these types of	
		therapies with the	
		exception of Autism.	
		The industry standard	
		is 40-60 visits annually.	
Specialty Medication Maximum	Health plan allows for	This would change to	This will help reduce costs and waste of unused medication.
Refills	90 day refills on	caps on specialty	Ensures monthly monitoring of specialty drug effectiveness.
	specialty medications.	medication refills at 30	This will effect four members. Estimated savings to the
		days, which is the	health plan is \$3,600 annually.
		maximum industry	
		standard.	

2019						
Employer Premium	Emplo	yee Premium	Total Claims:	Health Fund:	Health Fund Reserve: \$	
Increase: %	Increa	se: %	\$	\$		
Plan Design Topic		City's Plan	Change to City's Plan	Intended outcome		
Eliminate option of a "Buy Up	" plan	This was introduced as	This plan option is for	This would affect 98 members (at the time the		
		a plan option in	members who want to	recommendation was made). The mid option plan had the		
		January 2013.	pay higher premium in	majority of members with 210 members (at the time the		
			exchange for a lower	recommendation was made). The buy down option plan		
			deductibles.	had 124 members (at the time the recommendation was		
				made). Essentially this is shifting members to two other		
				options which would represent a more effective share o		
				premium paid and claims made on the plan option spread		
Eliminate coverage for Post-6	5	There were 19 Post-65	Post-65 Medicare	This change is estimated to res	ult in a savings of \$200,000 in	
Medicare Eligible Retiree Members		Medicare eligible	eligible retiree	claims cost to the City's Health Plan. This will also result in		
		retiree members at the	members would no	less premium collected since retirees pay full premium. The		
		time the	longer have an option	change could also benefit Medicare eligible retirees by		
		recommendation was	to remain on the City's	allowing guaranteed issue with	loss of coverage on the	
		made.	Health Plan.	City's Health Plan.		

MEMO TO: J. Carter Napier, City Manager

FROM: Tracey L. Belser, Support Services Director

SUBJECT: Compensation Options

### **Meeting Type & Date**

Council Work Session, March 12, 2019

### **Action Type**

Direction Requested

### Recommendation

That the City Manager provide direction to the Support Services Director on compensation for City of Casper employees.

### **Summary**

Effective September 4, 2017, all steps on the City of Casper's classification plan were frozen. This was an effort made to save the general fund approximately \$500,000 annually due to a prior period of decreased sales tax revenue and depletion of City reserves. This decision was made to hold off on the less desirable option of a reduction in force situation.

The commitment you made at that time was to restore compensation that had been frozen when it did not pose an undue hardship to the organization. I understand it is your desire to move the existing compensation structure from an automatic 5% increase annually for steps 1-5, to a pay for performance system with a 0-5% annually, as budget allows. Human Resources has been actively working on proposals for a pay for performance system, and meeting with you on these ideas, since September 2018.

To implement a pay for performance (PFP) system properly, much thought, consideration, and adequate time is needed. An evaluation form that reflects objective and measurable criteria will need to be developed as well as, educating all employees as to what those criteria are, educating and informing all supervisors on how to use this tool to evaluate employees using the set criteria, etc. This is why the first pay for performance award is listed for FY21. Below is a tentative timeline to implement a PFP system:

•	April 2019	Criteria developed and confirmed by Executive Team
•	May 2019	Paper evaluation format confirmed by Executive Team
•	June 2019	Education sessions for all employees on evaluation criteria and PFP system
•	July 2019	Evaluation period starts for an annual evaluation Education sessions for all supervisors on how to evaluate and PFP system

- July 2020 Annual evaluations due to Human Resources
- August 2020 Performance awards start being paid to employees

The following are highlights for compensation since the pay freeze on September 4, 2017:

- 2% COLA given July 1, 2018 which adjusted all pay scales.
- \$1,000 lump sum payment was given to all full time employees July 2, 2018.
- 30 employees have been promoted to a higher paying job, and seven (7) have been reclassified, receiving a pay increase.

Human Resources has budgeted to have a consultant review the existing compensation and classification structure in FY20. This in itself is a huge project that I anticipate to begin in July 2019. The results of the study may also have budgetary consideration needed for FY21.

There are many different scenarios on how to transition into a PFP system. The attached document provides four options we recommend for your consideration.

### **Financial Considerations**

Multi-year

### Oversight/Project Responsibility

Tracey Belser, Support Services
Tom Pitlick, Financial Services Director

### **Attachments**

Compensation Proposal

### **COMPENSATION PROPOSAL**

	OPTION A	OPTION B	OPTION C	OPTION D
	189 Full time and part time	189 Full time and part time	<b>334</b> Full time and part	189 Full time and part time employees
	employees hired before	employees hired before	time employees eligible	hired <i>before</i> 9/4/17, and the <b>135</b> new full
	9/4/17, would be granted a	9/4/17, would be granted to	for a 0-5% pay for	time and part time employees hired after
	one-time 5% step increase in	run out the remainder of their	performance award in	9/4/17, would be granted a one-time 5%
	March 2019. These	5% step increases regardless	July 2020.	step increase in March 2019. These
	employees would then	of performance. First 5% step		employees would then become eligible for
	become eligible for an	increase would be in March	The <b>248</b> full time and	an additional 0-5% pay for performance
	additional 0-5% pay for	2019, then on anniversary	part time employees	award in July 2020.
	performance award in July	date of hire thereafter.	who've reached step 5	
	2020.		(maxed out steps in pay	The <b>248</b> full time and part time employees
		<b>145</b> Full time and part time	range) would be eligible	who've already reached step 5 (maxed out
	<b>145</b> Full time and part time	employees would be eligible	for an annual, <u>non-</u>	steps in pay range) would be eligible for an
	employees would be eligible	for a 0-5% pay for	pensionable, lump sum	annual, <u>non-pensionable</u> , lump sum of 0-
	for a 0-5% pay for	performance award in July	of 0-5% of their base	5% of their base wage determined by a
	performance award in July	2020.	wage determined by a	performance rating. <u>In this option, it is</u>
	2020.		performance rating in	recommended to give an automatic 5%
		The <b>248</b> full time and part	July 2020.	award in March 2019.
	The <b>248</b> full time and part	time employees who've		
	time employees who've	reached step 5 (maxed out		The <b>10</b> department heads don't fit into the
	reached step 5 (maxed out	steps in pay range) would be		categories above because their grades
	steps in pay range) would be	eligible for an annual, <u>non-</u>		don't have steps. They would be eligible
	eligible for an annual, non-	pensionable, lump sum of 0-		for a 0-5% pay for performance award in
	pensionable, lump sum of 0-	5% of their base wage		July 2020.
	5% of their base wage	determined by a performance		
	determined by a performance	rating in July 2020.		
0000	rating in July 2020.			
COST	FY '19 = \$138,392	FY ' 20 Impact = \$551,962	FY '19 = \$0	FY '19 = \$1,109,815
	FY '20 = \$564,896	FY '21 Impact = \$1,073,195	FY '20 = \$0	FY '20 = \$ 772,465
	FY '21 = \$1,036,264	FY '22 Impact = \$224,234	FY '21 = \$1,019,317	FY '21 = \$1,042,491
		FY '23 Impact = \$140,982		